

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/683,861	02/22/2002	Robert Otillar	06730.0016.CPUS00	2418
28694	7590 01/15/2004	EXAMINER		
	SIMON ARNOLD & WHI YLVANIA AVE., NW	LUDLOW, JAN M		
BOX 34			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20004		1743	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ė.		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>#</i> —\			
Office Action Summary		09/683,861	OTILLAR ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jan M. Ludlow	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the displacement of the province of	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. The areply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. CANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 October 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) [Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)[] :	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t	•	• • •				
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	HE EXAMINET. NOTE THE ATTACHE	Office Action of John F 10-132.				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	l8) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/683,861 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

1. Applicant's election filed October 27, 2003 does not correspond to any of the groups/species in the previous or current restriction requirement, necessitated by amendment. Note that applicant is required to elect a Group and a species if Group I or II is elected. Note that the amendment to the method claims does not necessitate rejoinder as explained below.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 15-29, 31-38, drawn to an apparatus with a closeable opening, classified in class 422, subclass 102.
 - II. Claims 30, 1-14, drawn to an apparatus with a particle fitting in a cavity, classified in class 422, subclass 102.
 - III. Claims 39-41, drawn to a method, classified in class 436, subclass 174.
 - IV. Claims 42-45, drawn to a sensing apparatus not requiring a closure or particles fitting in a cavity, classified in class 422, subclass 102.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require closure of the crater. The subcombination has separate utility such as a containment device not requiring a sensor.

Art Unit: 1743

- 4. Inventions IV and [I, II] are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a lid particle, a means for closing or that the particle is sized to be held by the crater. The subcombination has separate utility such as containment devices.
- 5. Inventions III and [I,II, IV] are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus may be used for another method, e.g., for detecting a property not related to the number of particles.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,861

Art Unit: 1743

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: In Group I, there are five distinct species:

- 9. IA claims 31-33, 15-19, 29, drawn to a magnetic particle embodiment;
- 10. IB claims 31, 32, 34, 15, 20-23, 29 drawn to a charged particle embodiment;
- 11. IC claims 31, 35, drawn to a shutter embodiment.
- 12. ID claims 31, 36, drawn to a sliding door embodiment.
- 13. IE claims 31, 37-38, drawn to a sensor embodiment.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 31 is generic for group I.

- 14. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: In Group II, there are three distinct species:
- 15. IIA claims 30, 1, 5-8, 9-11, 14, drawn to a magnetic particle embodiment;
- 16. IIB claims 30, 1, 9-14 drawn to a charged particle embodiment;
- 17. IIC claims 30, 2-4 drawn to a sensor embodiment.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 30 is generic for group II.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,861

Art Unit: 1743

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 18. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 19. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jan M. Ludlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 11:30 am - 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Jan M. Ludlow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

iml

January 9, 2004